UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,158	01/29/2004	Richard C. Smith	M-15596 US	· 7774
32605 MACPHERSO	7590 05/03/2007 N KWOK CHEN & HE	EXAMINER		
2033 GATEWAY PLACE			DABNEY, PHYLESHA LARVINIA	
SUITE 400 SAN JOSE, CA 95110		ART UNIT ,	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Application No.				
Office Action Summany	10/769,158	SMITH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phylesha L. Dabney	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of line may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 O	<u>ctober 2006</u> .				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-18,25-29,32,33 and 36</u> is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) <u>25-29,32,33 and 36</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-9,11 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>12-14</u> is/are objected to.	vn from consideration.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 1/29/04 is/are: a)☒ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

The action is in response to the Amendment received on 8 February 2007 in which claims 1-9, 11, 15-19, 25-29, 32-33, and 36 are pending. Claims 10, 19-24, 30-31, and 34-35 were cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, 11, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeKalb (U.S. Patent No. 6,810,987) in view of Lin (U.S. Patent No. 6,704,429).

Regarding claims 1-4 and 8-9, as shown in figures 1-8, DeKalb teaches a device for facilitating hearing, the device comprising: an earpiece (10) configured to be captured at least partially within the conchae (using 22) of an ear; and wherein the earpiece (10) is configured for use in either ear by re-orienting the earpiece without re-configuring the earpiece.

Dekalb fails to specifically teach construction of the earpiece including whether <u>an</u>

<u>coustic conduit is included or excluded from the configuration such that sound is able to pass</u>

<u>therethrough earpiece</u> (newly added limitation to claim 1).

Art Unit: 2614

Lin evidence to support official notice) teaches constructing an earpiece having an acoustic conduit for allowing sound to pass therethrough (Lin; for example, fig. 5 teaches a passageway/conduit 42-43 for passing sound).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a passageway/conduit in the earpiece of DeKalb as evidenced by Lin for the benefit of providing direction for the sound from the device to the user's ear.

Regarding claims 5-7, DeKalb teaches the device as recited in claim 1, wherein the earpiece (10) is generally symmetric about a plane that bisects the earpiece between the top and bottom thereof.

Regarding claim 11, DeKalb teaches the device as recited in claim 1, wherein the earpiece (10) is comprised of at least one rib (figs. 2-4, 6-8).

Regarding claims 15-17, Dekalb does not teach the device as recited in claim 1, wherein the earpiece (10) is formed of any particular type of material including a resilient polymer having a Shore A durometer of between approximately 35 and approximately 45.

However, it is known to fabricate the earpiece of resilient materials such as rubber, silicone, vinyl, etc., that can have a duromenter hardness in the range between 35 and 45 for providing softness and comfort to the user.

Art Unit: 2614

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the earpiece of resilient materials such as rubber, silicone, vinyl, etc., in the invention of DeKalb for the reasons stated above.

Regarding claim 18, DeKalb does not teach the device as recited in claim 1, wherein the earpiece (10) is formed of any particular type of material including injection molding.

However, it is known to fabricate the earpiece of injection molded material for providing softness and comfort to the user.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the earpiece of injection molded material in the invention of DeKalb for the reasons stated above.

Response to Arguments

Furthermore, the applicant did not traverse the examiner's assertion of official notice with respect to claims 15-18, 25-27, and 32-33 as noted in previous office action (dated 3 May 2006); therefore, the common knowledge or well-known in the art statement is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the examiner's assertion of official notice (MPEP 2144.03).

Art Unit: 2614

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 25-29, 32-33 and 36 are allowed. With respect to the claims, the prior art of record fails to teach an earpiece comprising a generally arcuate rib and a generally vertical rib formed so as to generally define the letter D, and also comprises a boss having a bore formed there through, the boss being formed to the generally vertical rib; and wherein the earpiece is sufficiently symmetrical to be configured for use in either the right or left ear, as substantially described and connected with the other functional language of these claims

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phylesha L. Dabney whose telephone number is 571-272-7494. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Wednesdays, Fridays 8:30-4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P O Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 273-8300, for formal communications intended for entry and for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft" when submitting an informal amendment.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

April 19, 2007

PLD